LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
NOV 8 1961
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1961

No. 537

HARRY MONFRED, JAMES SPISSLER, SAMUEL MENDELSON, SANDER A. SIEGEL, BENJAMIN M. SIEGEL and ALBERT KING,

Petitioners,

vs.

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND.

STANLEY FLEISHMAN,
1680 Vine Street,
Los Angeles 28, California,
Counsel for Petitioner.

SAM ROSENWEIN,
BROCK & FLEISHMAN,
Of Counsel.

Parker & Son, Inc., Law Printers, Los Angeles. Phone MA. 6-9171.

SUBJECT INDEX

PAGE

Opinions below 1

Jurisdiction 2

Questions presented 2

Constitutional and statutory provisions involved 6

Statement 6

Reasons relied on for the allowance of the writ 10

Conclusion 38

Appendices:

Appendix "A." Opinion and Judgment ..... App. p. 1

Appendix "B." Oral Opinion of Trial Court at Conclusion of Trial ..... App. p. 31

Appendix "C." Constitutional Provisions and Statutes Involved ..... App. p. 32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases	Page
Adams Newark Theatre Co. v. City of Newark, 354 U. S. 931	9, 24
Boyd v. United States, 116 U. S. 616	15
Butler v. Michigan, 352 U. S. 380	9, 24, 35
Grove Press, Inc. v. Christianberry, 276 F. 2d 433, aff'g 175 Fed. Supp. 488	23, 31
Hannegan v. Esquire, Inc., 327 U. S. 146	11, 23
Heidermann v. American District Telegraph Co., 230 N. Y. 305, 130 N. E. 302	19
J. Burstyn, Inc. v. Wilson, 343 U. S. 495	21
Kingsley Books, Inc. v. Brown, 354 U. S. 436	9
Kingsley International Pictures Corp. v. Regents, 360 U. S. 684	9, 11, 16, 17, 24
Lovell v. Griffin, 303 U. S. 444	11
Marcus v. Search Warrants, etc., 376 U. S. 717	14
Marsh v. Alabama, 326 U. S. 501	18
Marey v. Doud, 354 U. S. 457	38
Morgan v. United States, 298 U. S. 468, 304 U. S. 1	25
Mounce v. United States, 355 U. S. 180	9, 11
One, Inc. v. Olesen, 355 U. S. 371	9, 11, 16, 24
People v. Richmond County News Co., 9 N. Y. 2d 578, 175 N. E. 2d 681	9, 15, 17, 24
Roth v. United States (Alberts v. California), 354 U. S. 476	9, 10, 15, 16, 18, 19, 22, 24, 25, 35, 36
Schneider v. State, 308 U. S. 147	11
Schware v. Board of Bar Examiners, 353 U. S. 232	28
Smith v. Cahoon, 283 U. S. 553	38
Smith v. California, 361 U. S. 147	9, 10, 21

PAGE

Speiser v. Randall, 357 U. S. 513 19

State v. Jackson, 356 P. 2d 495 17

Sunshine Book Co. v. Summerfield, 355 U. S. 372 ..... 9, 11, 17, 18, 24

Talley v. California, 362 U. S. 60 11

Testa v. Katt, 330 U. S. 386 25

Thompson v. City of Louisville, 362 U. S. 199 28

Thornhill v. Alabama, 310 U. S. 88 16

Times Film Corp. v. City of Chicago, 355 U. S. 35 ..... 9, 11, 16, 24

Watts v. Indiana, 338 U. S. 49 24

Winters v. New York, 333 U. S. 507 ..... 11, 21

Yates v. United States, 354 U. S. 298 16

Yick Woo v. Hopkins, 118 U. S. 356 38

MISCELLANEOUS

A Guide to the Study of the United States of America, p. 99 34

American Law Institute, Model Penal Code, Tent. Draft No. 6 (1957), p. 10 16

American Law Institute, Model Penal Code, Tent. Draft No. 6 (1957), p. 47 12

1 Government and Mass Communications (1947), p. 221 29

University of Illinois Law Forum (1957), Comment, pp. 499, 502-503 17

STATUTES

Code of Maryland (1957), Art. 27, Sec. 418 ..... 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 25

United States Code, Title 28, Sec. 1257(3) 2

United States Constitution, First Amendment 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 16, 18, 23, 25, 28, 36, 37

PAGE

United State Constitution, Fourteenth Amendment.....
.....2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 25, 28, 37, 38

TEXTBOOKS

Allen, Only Yesterday, an Informal History of the Twenties (1946) 13

American Lit. Association (1954), p. 39, Larrabee, Morality and Obscenity in Freedom of Book Selection..... 35

7 De Paul Law Review (1957), Note, pp. 111, 113..... 17

Fiedler, Love and Death in the American Novel (1960), pp. 156, 481 35

Harvey, Comstockery in America (1960), pp. 86-96..... 36

20 Law and Contemporary Problems (1955), p. 672, Larrabee, The Cultural Context of Sex Censorship 13

45 Minnesota Law Review (1960), pp. 19-39, Lockhart and McClure, Censorship of Obscenity: The Developing Constitutional Standards 17

45 Minnesota Law Review (1960), pp. 114-120, Lockhart and McClure, Censhorship of Obscenity: The Developing Constitutional Standards 24

45 Minnesota Law Review (1961), p. 5, Lockhart and McClure, Censorship of Obscenity 15, 17

29 Pennsylvania Bar Association Quarterly (1957), p. 8, Schwartz, Criminal Obscenity Law: Portents From Recent Supreme Court Decisions, etc..... 17

Peterson, Magazines in the Twentieth Century (1956), p. 337 13

26 University of Chicago Law Review (1959), Comment, pp. 309-313 17

11 West. Res. Law Review (1960), Note, p. 669..... 17

60 West Virginia Law Review (1957), Comment, pp. 89, 91 17

Wolseley, The Magazine World (1951), p. 14..... 13

Wood, Magazines in the United States (1956), pp. 331-332.... 12

Wood, Magazines in the United States (1956), p. 367..... 13

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1961

No. .....

HARRY MONFRED, JAMES SPISSLER, SAMUEL MENDELSON, SANDER A. SIEGEL, BENJAMIN M. SIEGEL and ALBERT KING,

Petitioners,

vs.

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND.

Petitioners pray that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, entered in the above-entitled case on August 9, 1961.

Opinions Below.

The opinion of the Court of Appeals of Maryland is reported at 173 A. 2d 173, and is printed in Appendix A hereto, *infra*, 1-30. The oral opinion of the trial court rendered prior to the imposition of sentence is unreported and is printed in Appendix B hereto, *infra*, 31.